BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL

EXAMINING BOARD

3

IN THE MATTER OF:

State of Arizona Respondent.

DIEGO F. FLOREZ, D.V.M.

For the practice of Veterinary Medicine in the

Holder of License No. 3705

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Case No.: 10-09

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

On October 20, 2010, and November 17, 2010, the Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board conducted an Informal Interview regarding Diego F. Florez, D.V.M. The proceedings in this matter are governed by A.R.S. § 32-2234 (A), ("Respondent"). Respondent was advised of his right to legal counsel by letter, appeared, and participated in the Informal Interview, and was represented by Mr. David Stoll. The Board received testimony from Respondent, Ms. Karen Smith and James Alexander, reviewed all documents submitted regarding this matter and proceeded as is permitted by A.R.S. § 32-2234 (A).

Following the Informal Interview and the Board's discussion of the information and documents submitted, the Board determined that Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2232 (12) as it relates to A.A.C. R3-11-501 (8) for failure to provide copies of medical records within 10 days from the date of request by the owner. After considering all of the information and testimony, the Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Order").

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent is the holder of License No. 3705 issued on January 10, 2000, and is therefore authorized to practice the profession of veterinary medicine in the State of Arizona.

- 2. On January 13, 2010, "Princess," a 12 week-old female Cane Corso Mastiff, was presented to Respondent for an ear crop consultation. Respondent examined the dog and found weight = 31 pounds, a temperature = 101.8 degrees, a heart rate = 124bpm, and a respiration rate = 36rpm. Respondent states he advised the owner that ear crops were optional, the risks of anesthesia, and an estimate of the cost.
- 4. The following day the dog was dropped off for the ear cropping by the complainant's husband, Mr. Alexander. He signed the authorizations for Medical/Surgical treatment and initialed the release for presurgical blood panel and CBC. Initials were also place in that area that Mr. Alexander understood anesthesia carries risks and authorized the hospital to place the dog under anesthesia; it is unclear if the initials are Mr. Alexander's. However during testimony, he admitted he did not read the form.
- 5. Respondent examined the dog and felt the dog was a healthy for surgery. At the end of the procedure, Respondent reports the dog was stable and his staff was wrapping the dog's ears when it was noted that the dog's tongue changed color. The Respondent was called in and CPR was initiated.
- 6. Respondent states he contacted the owner and advised her that the dog had stopped breathing and was not responding to CPR. He advised her he would continue and call her back. Respondent reports he continued CPR for 20 minutes without positive results.
- 7. The owners visited the hospital that evening and discussed the dog's death with Respondent. He attempted to explain the possible causes for the dog's death, such as congenital or anesthesia reaction.
- 8. The owner expressed concerns that she was told the dog's ashes could be picked up in one week, then two, and there was further lack of communication when the cremains were not returned timely. Further she requested a copy of the medical record and was advised the dog's medical record was erased when she received a refund.

9. Respondent denies the medical records were erased or tampered with. He also states they asked the cremation company to deliver the askes to the owner. He did not provide a copy of the medical records to the owner within ten days of the request.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2201, et seq.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact above, constitute a violation of A.R.S. 32-2232 (12) as it relates to A.A.C. R3-11-501 (8) for failure to provide copies of the medical records to the owner within ten days of her request.

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it is ORDERED Respondent's license number 3705 is CENSURED.

- 1. Respondent shall bear all costs incurred regarding compliance with this Order.
- 2. This Order is conclusive evidence of the matters described and may be considered by the Board in determining an appropriate sanction in the event a subsequent violation occurs. In the event Respondent violates any term of this Order, the Board may, after opportunity for Informal Interview or Formal Hearing, take any other appropriate disciplinary action authorized by law, including suspension or revocation of Respondent's license.

REHEARING/APPEAL RIGHTS

Respondent has the right to petition for a rehearing or review of this Order. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-2234 (F) and § 41-1092.09 the petition must be filed with the Board within thirty-five (35) days from the date of mailing if the Order was served via certified mail. Pursuant to A.A.C. R3-11-904 (C), the petition must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting the

rehearing or review. The filing of a petition for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court that the party may wish to pursue.

This Order shall be effective and in force upon the expiration of the above time period for filing a motion for rehearing or review with the Board. However, the timely filing of a motion for rehearing or review shall stay the enforcement of the Board's Order, unless, pursuant to

A.A.C. R3-11-904 (F), the Board has expressly found good cause to believe that this Order shall be effectively immediately upon the issuance and has so stated in this Order.

Dated this 19^{+1} day of November, 2010.

Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board Scott Plummer, D.V.M.
Chairman

Chairman

Jenna Jones, Executive Director

Original of the foregoing filed this 19th day of Normber, 2010, with the:

Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board 1400 W. Washington, Room 240 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Copy of the foregoing sent by certified, return receipt mail # this jeit day of Nevenber, 2010, to: . 5 Copy of the foregoing sent by regular mail this day of Navender, 2010 to: Mr. David Stoll Beagureau, Zukowski & Hancock, PC 302 East Coronado Road Phoenix, AZ 85004 . 1.3 Ву: Bøard Staff 2İ